

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,812	08/17/2006	Achim Kraus	022862-1103	2427
23409 MICHAEL BE	7590 11/24/201 EST & FRIEDRICH LL	EXAMINER		
100 E WISCONSIN AVENUE			GRAHAM, GARY K	
Suite 3300 MILWAUKEI	S. WI 53202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3727	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/24/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/589,812	KRAUS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Gary K. Graham	3727		

	Gary K. Graham	3727	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 11 November 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Air no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (1) and the period of the peri	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of		36(a) and the appropriate	extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complete.	iance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	filed within two months	of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ol>	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all  non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•	•
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [</li> </ol>		I be entered and an ex	planation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov	ided below or appended.		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11.  \[ \textstyle{\textstyle{\textstyle{2}}}\] The request for reconsideration has been considered but Applicant's discussion of the stress-controlling elements reasonably considered to show such stress-controlling el (28) and on the base. It appears such are "arranged" as applicant to show or demonstrate, via simple finite elements.	is noted. As set forth in the rejection ements as, for example, the finned claimed, as far as can be determine	on, the structures of M I structures extending ned. The burden is sh	letz or Rapp are from the bearing ifted back to
claimed. It is noted that applicant has not set forth that s			

any circumstances perform such functioning. Why can't the identified fin structure perform the claimed functioning? The

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: \_\_\_

rejections are stilled deemed tenable.

/Gary K Graham/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3727

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20101122